# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	) ) )
Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M.D.	) Case No. 800-2016-022506
Physician's and Surgeon's	) )
Certificate No. A 106886  Respondent	)
	) )
·	)

#### **DECISION**

The Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order in the above-entitled matter is attached hereto. On the Medical Board of California's own motion, said Decision is hereby amended to correct a clerical error so that each reference to "Accusation" is stricken and replaced with "First Amended Accusation." Further in paragraph 4 of the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order the date of "October 2, 2018," is stricken and replaced with "March 25, 2019," the date the First Amended Accusation was filed.

The Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order as amended is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on June 21, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED May 22, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald H. Lewis, M.D./Chair

Panel A

1	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California		
3	JANE ZACK SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
4	LAWRENCE MERCER Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 111898		
5	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 510-3488 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
ļ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-022506	
12	Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M.D. 7551 Timberlake Way, Suite 230	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
13	Sacramento, CA 95823-5420	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 106886,	·	
15	Respondent		
16	·		
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
18	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
19	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
20	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this		
<u>,</u> 21	matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Lawrence Mercer.		
22	2. Respondent Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M	I.D. (Respondent) is represented in this matter	
23	by her attorneys Dominique Pollara and Pollara Law Group, 3600 American River Drive, Suite		
24	160, Sacramento, CA 95864		
25 ·	·		
26	3. On or about March 6, 2009, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and		
27	Surgeon's Certificate Number A106886 to Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M.D. The Physician's and		
28			

Surgeon's certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on July 30, 2020, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

4. On October 2, 2018, Complainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Board, filed Accusation No. 800-2016-022506 (Accusation) against Respondent. The Accusation was duly served upon Respondent and she timely filed a Notice of Defense. A copy of the Accusation is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

#### **ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS**

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2016-022506.
- 6. Respondent has carefully read and fully understands the contents, force and effect of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, and has fully reviewed and discussed same with her attorney of record.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter including her right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2016-022506, her right to present witnesses and evidence and to testify on her own behalf, her right to confront and cross-examine all witnesses testifying against her, her right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, her right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision, and all other rights accorded her pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, and all other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by her attorney of record. Respondent, having the benefit of counsel hereby knowingly, intelligently, freely and voluntarily waives and gives up each and every one of the rights set forth and/or referenced above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

8. Respondent agrees that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2016-022506 and that she has thereby subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate to disciplinary action. Respondent further agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 9. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 10. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including electronic PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 11. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

21.

#### A. PUBLIC REPRIMAND

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A106886 issued to Respondent Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M.D., shall be and is hereby publicly reprimanded pursuant to California Business and Professions Code § 2227(a)(4). This Public Reprimand, which is issued in connection with Respondent's actions as set forth in Accusation No. 800-2016-022506, is as follows:

On September 30, 2014, you performed a diagnostic laparoscopy and excision of a mesenteric mass. During the procedure, you encountered bleeding, which you addressed with a 10 mm clip applier, and then acute ischemia to the adnexal structure, which you addressed with a right salpingo-oophorectomy. Post-procedure, the patient reported pain, weakness and numbness to the right leg. Although you appropriately ordered a CT angiogram, you did not follow up to assure it was performed on the same day or sent the patient directly to an Emergency Department. As a consequence, there was a delay in diagnosis and surgical repair of the obstructed right external iliac artery.

B. EDUCATION COURSE: Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this

Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 25 hours. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be

Category I certified and shall include: Recognition and management of surgical and post-surgical complications. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. CME taken after this Stipulation is signed but before the effective date of the Decision will be accepted toward fulfillment of this condition, if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the courses been taken after the effective date of the Decision.

Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I, HSINJU RUBY GATSCHET, M.D., have carefully read this Stipul	ated_Settlement_and.
Disciplinary Order and, having the benefit of counsel, enter into it freely, volu	untarily, intelligently
and with full knowledge of its force and effect on my Physician's and Surgeo	n's Certificate No.
A106886. I fully understand that, after signing this stipulation, I may not wit	hdraw from it, that it
shall be submitted to the Medical Board of California for its consideration, an	d that the Board
shall have a reasonable period of time to consider and act on this stipulation a	ıfter receiving it. By
entering into this stipulation, I fully understand that, upon formal acceptance	by the Board, I shall
receive this Public Reprimand from the Board and shall be required to comply	y with the terms and
conditions of the Disciplinary Order set forth above. I also fully understand t	hat any failure to
comply with the terms and conditions of the Disciplinary Order set forth above	ve shall constitute
unprofessional conduct and that my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No	o. A106886 will be
subject to further disciplinary action.	$\bigcap$

Dated: 4/9/19

HSINJU RUBY GATSCHET, M.D.

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent HSINJU RUBY GATSCHET, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

Dated: 4/9/19

PQLLARA LAW GROUP

DOMINIQUE A. POLLARA

Attorneys for Respondent

- -

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulation is respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs. Dated: Respectfully submitted, XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
JANE ZACK SIMON
Supervising Deputy Attorney General Lawrence Mercer Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant SF2018201093 Rev. Stipulation.docx 

**EXHIBIT A** 

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

4		' I	
1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California JANE ZACK SIMON	FILED	
	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3	LAWRENCE MERCER	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 111898	SACRAMENTO MARLIN 35, 2019 BY 2011 ANALYST	
٠	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 510-3488		
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	and the second s	
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
.	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
8	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
	Tal. Mar. Co. III and I later the	grand the state of	
10	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-022506	
11			
12	Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M.D. 7551 Timberlake Way, Suite 230	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
12	Sacramento, CA 95823-5420		
13	Development and Community Claud Control No. A 100000		
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 106886,		
	Respondent.		
15	Complainant alleges:		
16	Complandit unogos.		
17	PAR	<u>ptes</u>	
18	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	brings this First Amended Accusation solely in	
19	her official capacity as the Executive Director of	the Medical Board of California, Department of	
20	Consumer Affairs (Board).		
21	2. On or about March 6, 2009, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
22			
23	Certificate Number A 106886 to Hsinju Ruby Ga	itschet, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and	
	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect	at all times relevant to the charges brought	
24			
25	herein and will expire on July 31, 2020, unless renewed.		
26	<i>III</i>		
27	<i>III</i>		
28			
	1	•	

(HSINJU RUBY GATSCHET, M.D.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION NO. 800-2016-022506

26.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws: All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code, in pertinent part, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "... (b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care."
- 6. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

### 4 5

### 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Unprofessional Conduct, Gross Negligence, Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 7. Respondent Hsinju Ruby Gatschet, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 and/or 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) in that Respondent engaged in unprofessional conduct and/or gross negligence and/or repeated negligent acts in her care and treatment of Patient 1.<sup>1</sup> The circumstances are as follows:
- 8. On or about July 30, 2014, Patient 1, a 50-year old female, came under Respondent's care for evaluation and treatment of an abdominal mass. In April, 2014, the patient had gone to the Emergency Department with complaints of chest pain, at which time a mesenteric mass was found on CT scan. A colonoscopy was performed, but was essentially normal. Respondent obtained a history of abdominal pain and cramping for one year, which had worsened in the last two months. Respondent also learned that the patient had a surgical history that included a total abdominal hysterectomy. Respondent's assessment was mesenteric mass of unknown etiology. She ordered an octreotide scan as well as a CT-guided biopsy of the patient's mesenteric mass.
- 9. The patient returned to see Respondent on September 12, 2014. The octreotide scan had been reported as negative and the CT-guided biopsy could not be performed as the mass was not CT-accessible for biopsy. Respondent discussed the risks, benefits and alternatives of laparoscopic mesenteric mass excision, with possible bowel resection and the patient agreed to those procedures.
- 10. On September 30, 2014, after informed consent was obtained, Respondent performed a diagnostic laparoscopy. Initially, she did not see any abnormalities of the mesentery or the abdominal wall anterior to the colon. Then she turned her attention to the right lower quadrant and found what appeared to be a fibrotic mass next to the adnexal structures and she dissected the mass from the surrounding structures. A blood vessel was encountered during dissection and Respondent reported addressing the bleed with a 10 mm clip applier. When she turned her attention back to the adnexal structures, she found the right fallopian tube appeared to be dusky. Respondent contacted the on-call OB/GYN about the acute ischemia to the right fallopian tube.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Patient names are redacted to protect privacy.

The on-call OB/GYN surgeon advised that she could not come to the OR to see the patient, but recommended removal of the right fallopian tube as well as the ovary. Respondent did not investigate possible causes (including inadvertent injury to a vascular structure) for the acute ischemia; instead, she proceeded with performance of a right salpingo-oophorectomy.

- 11. On October 1, 2014, Patient 1 called Respondent's office in the morning and reported she was experiencing pain, numbness and weakness in her right leg. Respondent contacted the patient and told her that Respondent would order a stat CT angiogram (CTA) to determine what was going on. Respondent did not tell the patient to go to the Emergency Department (ED). The office staff arranged for a CTA, but it was scheduled for the following morning rather than the same day.
- 12. On October 2, 2014, at 10:12 a.m., a CTA was performed. A report, which was timed at 12:10 p.m., reported that the right external iliac artery was occluded in the area where the mesenteric mass had been resected and surgical clips were present. The results were discussed with the physician who had assisted Respondent with the laparoscopy and he in turn reported them to Respondent. At that time, she contacted the patient and told her to proceed to a local ED.
- 13. On October 2, 2014, Patient 1 was seen in the ED by a vascular surgeon for the acute ischemia to her right leg. Her symptoms were reported as severe, with decreased sensitivity and motor function in her right foot. The surgeon advised that immediate surgery was necessary to avoid any further loss of her motor and sensory function. A surgical repair of the external iliac artery was performed the same day. The operative report stated that: "The external iliac artery was obviously clipped a few times and then completely transected and that had completely thrombosed both for [sic] the proximal and distal ends." The external iliac artery was repaired at that time, and on October 3, 2014, the patient underwent a second procedure, a thromboembolectomy, to address occlusion of the popliteal artery that resulted from the traumatic injury to the right external iliac artery.
- 14. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct and Respondent's certificate is subject to discipline pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 2234 and/or 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) based on her gross negligence and/or repeated negligent acts, including but not limited to: